## **TO-DO list for Mucin analysis**

128.192.9.183/eln/lachele/2013/08/22/to-do-list-for-mucin-analysis

August 22, 2013

I've got most of the hard parts done. Now, to tie up a few things and start making final images.

List items are noted by:

- Regular type if I only need to do some make-pretty with plots. Initial, draft gnuplot input files are attached.
- *Italic type* if the data are prepared, but I still need to generate plots, some or all. The data are typically too large to attach here, so I won't do that.
- Bold type if I still need to do some data generation (plus generate plots, of course).

My highest priority right now is anything in **bold type**. The next priority is anything in *italic type*. Of course, I reserve the right to get frustrated and do them in any order I please.

Most plots below will include separated plots for all four linkages.

Contour plots:

- Phi-Psi plots
  - Bin all-run data.
    - Files: <u>Bin PhiPsi for plots</u>.c <u>makefil</u>e
    - There's also this control file, run from the ANALYSIS/PhiPsi\_Bins directory: <u>finding a good scale.bash</u>
  - Backbone
  - *Glycosidic linkage* (runsets d4g and d4m only)
- N O-back versus N H O-back *w/NMR* Files: <u>Bak\_contour\_Bak\_contour\_1 Bak\_contour\_2 Bak\_contour\_3</u>
  <u>Bak\_contour\_4 Bak\_contour\_multi</u>
- N O-glyc versus N H O-glyc w/NMR Files: <u>Gly\_contour</u>
- (N O-back + N O-glyc) versus O N O Files: <u>SUMvsONO\_contour</u>
- H O-back versus O H O Files: <u>HObvsOHO\_contour HObvsOHO\_contour\_1 HObvsOHO\_contour\_2</u> <u>HObvsOHO\_contour\_3 HObvsOHO\_contour\_4 HObvsOHO\_multi</u>
- H O glyc versus O H O Files: <u>HOgvsOHO\_contour</u>

- (H O-back + H O-glyc) versus O H O Files: <u>SUMvsOHO contour Sum contour 1 Sum contour 2 Sum contour 3</u> <u>Sum contour 4 Sum contour multi</u>
- H O-back versus H O O

Histogram plots:

- Distribution of Phi angles, showing NMR average and simulated average
  All three runsets
  - Also separated out by linkage
- Distribution of Psi angles, showing NMR average and simulated average
  - All three runsets
  - Also separated out by linkage
- N-O-back distances w/NMR
- N O-glyc distances w/NMR

Scatter Plots:

RMSD's for all frames (all sims) versus each initial structure Plot in sets of four initial structures

Images:

- O-H-O from N O-back < 4.5 for all frames from two angles Files: <u>Gal3\_set4\_shared</u>
- Previous, plus the backbone H Files: see previous for now. Will separate when making pretty.
- O-H-O H-O-H-O (find representative structure somehow) with surface from two angles
  - $\circ~$  Do the H-O-H-O 4-way thing. It's more relevant and makes a nicer case.
  - To determine representative structure: Find structure with backbone angles closest to NMR-derived value
- Structure with RMSD closest to average RMSD from NMR-best overlayed with NMR-best, show backbone only.

(I did this once... must find the files)

## • Check equilibration runs for evidence of water-mediated H-bond

- Find reasonable number of frames that are equilibrated (use energy)
  They are all well-equilibrated 100 frames in. This leaves about 900 frames (about 1.8 ns) from each simulation.
- Write program to search for N-H ... O ... H-N bond.
  - This is done, and seems to work well Current version: <u>do\_equil\_water\_check.c</u>
  - Update program to do these things:
    - Write the distances info. Also binned.
    - Calculate the H-H <1/r^6>^1/6 averages for comparison to earlier work.

Other Data/Information:

- Average RMSD, with fluctuations, for each initial structure versus all simulations Files: <u>Fluctuations all bak Fluctuations all sug RMSD all bak</u> <u>RMSD all sug</u>
- Previous, also split out per simulation